UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RICHARD STANTON (as trustee for Ashley Stanton) on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 08-cv-03054

v.

MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC. and MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED.

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF JOSEPH E. LEVI

- I, Joseph E. Levi, declare under penalty of perjury as follows:
- 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Levi & Korsinsky, LLP. I submit this declaration in support of the Stanton Group's motion for appointment as lead plaintiff and for approval of its selection lead counsel and liaison counsel for the class.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 78u-4(a)(3)(A)(i) of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act ("PSLRA"), on March 25, 2008, the law firm of Girard Gibbs LLP caused to be published a notice over a national business-oriented wire service, Business Wire, advising members of the proposed class that a securities class action was filed and that investors had the right to move for appointment as lead plaintiff no later than May 25, 2008. See 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(A)(i)(II). Business Wire distributes news to more than 2,000 different outlets, including Dow Jones News Retrieval, Associated Press and Reuters. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is true and accurate copy of this notice.

- 3. All of the members of the Stanton Group completed certifications in accordance with Section 78u-4(a)(2) of the PSLRA. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and accurate copy of these certifications.
- 4. A true and accurate copy of Levi & Korsinsky's firm resume is annexed hereto as Exhibit C.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury this 27th day of May, 2008.

/s/ Joseph E. Levi	
Joseph E. Levi	

EXHIBIT A



March 25, 2008 5:43 p.m. EDT

PRESS RELEASE

Girard Gibbs LLP Announces Class Action Lawsuit Filed Against Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (NYSE:MER)

March 25, 2008 5:43 p.m.

securities as of February 13, 2008.

SAN FRANCISCO--(BUSINESS WIRE) -- March 25, 2008--

The law firm of Girard Gibbs LLP (http://www.girardgibbs.com) announces that it is has filed a class action lawsuit on behalf of persons who purchased Auction Rate Securities from Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (NYSE: MER) and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. between March 25,

2003 and February 13, 2008, inclusive (the "Class Period"), and who continued to hold such

The class action, captioned Burton v. Merrill Lynch Corp., et al., 08-cv-03037 (LAP), is pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The class action is brought against Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and its wholly-owned broker-dealer subsidiary, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc.

The Complaint alleges that Merrill Lynch violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by deceiving investors about the investment characteristics of auction rate securities and the auction market in which these securities traded. Auction rate securities are either municipal or corporate debt securities or preferred stocks which pay interest at rates set at periodic "auctions." Auction rate securities generally have long-term maturities or no maturity dates.

The Complaint alleges that, pursuant to uniform sales materials and top-down management directives, Merrill Lynch offered and sold auction rate securities to the public as highly liquid cash-management vehicles and as suitable alternatives to money market mutual funds. According to the Complaint, holders of auction rate securities sold by Merrill Lynch and other broker-dealers have been unable to liquidate their positions in these securities following the decision on February 13, 2008 of all major broker-dealers including Merrill Lynch to "withdraw their support" for the periodic auctions at which the interest rates paid on auction rates securities are set.

DOW JONES REPRINTS

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only. To order presentation-ready copies for distribution to your colleagues. clients or customers, use the Order Reprints tool at the bottom of any article or visit: www.djreprints.com.

- See a sample reprint in PDF format.
- Order a reprint of this article now.

The Complaint alleges that Merrill Lynch failed to disclose the following material facts about the auction rate securities it sold to the class: (1) the auction rate securities were not cash alternatives, like money market funds, but were instead, complex, long-term financial instruments with 30 year maturity dates, or longer; (2) the auction rate securities were only liquid at the time of sale because Merrill Lynch and other broker-dealers were artificially supporting and manipulating the auction rate market to maintain the appearance of liquidity and stability; (3) Merrill Lynch and other broker-dealers routinely intervened in auctions for their own benefit, to set rates and prevent all-hold auctions and failed auctions; and (4) Merrill Lynch continued to market auction rate securities as liquid investments after it had determined that it and other broker dealers were likely to withdraw their support for the periodic auctions and that a "freeze" of the market for auction rate securities would result.

If you purchased or otherwise acquired Auction Rate Securities from Merrill Lynch between March 25, 2003 and February 13, 2008, and continued to hold such securities as of February 13, 2008, you may, no later than May 25, 2008, request that the Court appoint you as lead plaintiff. A lead plaintiff is a representative party acting on behalf of other class members in directing the litigation. To be appointed lead plaintiff, the Court must decide that your claim is typical of the claims of other class members, and that you will adequately represent the class. Your ability to share in any recovery is not affected by the decision whether or not to serve as a lead plaintiff. You may retain Girard Gibbs LLP, or other attorneys, to serve as your counsel in this action.

If you wish to discuss your rights as an investor in auction rate securities through Merrill Lynch or any other brokerage, please contact Girard Gibbs LLP toll-free at (866) 981-4800. A copy of the complaint is available from the Court, or can be viewed on Girard Gibbs LLP's website at: http://www.girardgibbs.com/auctionrate.html.

Girard Gibbs LLP is one of the nation's leading firms representing individual and institutional investors in securities fraud class actions and litigation to correct abusive corporate governance practices, breaches of fiduciary duty and proxy violations. For more information, please access the firm's web site, www.girardgibbs.com/auctionrate.html. To discuss this class action with us, please contact the following attorneys:

```
Daniel C. Girard (dcg@girardgibbs.com)

Jonathan K. Levine (jkl@girardgibbs.com)

Aaron M. Sheanin (ams@girardgibbs.com)

601 California Street, 14th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94108

Phone number: (866) 981-4800

Website: http://www.girardgibbs.com/auctionrate.html
```

CONTACT: Girard Gibbs LLP

Daniel C. Girard, 415-981-4800 Jonathan K. Levine, 415-981-4800 Aaron M. Sheanin, 415-981-4800

SOURCE: Girard Gibbs LLP Copyright Business Wire 2008

URL for this article:

http://online.wsj.com/article/PR_CO_20080325_905792.html

Copyright 2008 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only. Distribution and use of this material are governed by our **Subscriber Agreement** and by copyright law. For non-personal use or to order multiple copies, please contact Dow Jones Reprints at 1-800-843-0008 or visit **www.djreprints.com**.

RELATED ARTICLES FROM ACROSS THE WEB

Related Content may require a subscription | Subscribe Now - Get 2 Weeks FREE

Related Articles from WSJ.com

- Wachovia's Auction-Rate Securities Are Probed by U.S., State Regulators May. 12, 2008
- Merrill Probed on Auction Securities May. 06, 2008
- . New York Probes Auction Securities Apr. 17, 2008
- Jeweler Files for Bankruptcy As Merrill Seeks Auction Apr. 17, 2008

Related Web News

· Milberg LLP Announces Filing Of Class Acton Lawsuit Involving Auction Rate ... May. 16, 2008 msn.foxsports.com

More related content Powered by Sphere

EXHIBIT B

- I, Richard Stanton (as trustee for Ashley Stanton), state:
 - 1. I have reviewed the Complaint and authorized its filing.
 - 2. Further, I am willing to serve as a representative on behalf of the Class.
- 3. I did not purchase any of the securities described below at paragraph 4 for the purpose of participating in this litigation.
- 4. Below is the amount of securities that are the subject of this litigation that I have bought and sold during the Class Period described in the Complaint:

Security Name	<u>Date</u>	Purchase/Sal	<u>e A</u>	mount
Evergreen Income Advantage Fund, Auction Market Preferred Shares	816.10	Purchase	\$ 50,	000
	17			
			<u></u>	
· ·				

- 5. I have not served as a representative party on behalf of a class under the federal security laws during the last three (3) years, except if detailed below.
- I have not received, been promised or offered, and will not accept, any form of compensation, directly or indirectly, for prosecuting or serving as a representative party in this class action, except for (i) such damages or other relief as the Court may award to me as my pro rata share of any recovery or judgment; (ii) such fees, costs or other payments as the Court expressly approves to be paid to or on behalf of me, or (iii) reimbursement, paid by my attorneys, of actual or reasonable out-of-pocket expenditures incurred directly in connection with the prosecution of this action.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the aforementioned is true and correct.

Dated: March 19, 2008

I, LESTER HANDLER, state:

- I have reviewed this Complaint from Levi & Korsinsky, LLP and authorized its filing.
 - 2. Further, I am willing to serve as a representative on behalf of the Class.
- 3. I did not purchase any of the securities described below at paragraph 4 for the purpose of participating in this litigation.
- Below is the amount of securities that are the subject of this litigation that 4. I have bought and sold during the Class Period described in the Complaint:

Security Name	Amount
Advent Claymore Conv W7 Taxable Pfd	25,003.
F&C /Claymore ToT Rt T7Taxable Pfd	50,007.
C&S Reit and Util F7 Taxable Pfd	50,007.
Blrk Pfd & Eqty Adv TH7 Taxable Pfd	25,010.

- 5. I have not served as a representative party on behalf of a class under the federal security laws during the last three (3) years, except if detailed below.
- I have not received, been promised or offered, and will not accept, any form of compensation, directly or indirectly, for prosecuting or serving as a representative party in this class action, except for (i) such damages or other relief as the Court may award to me as my pro rata share of any recovery or judgment; (ii) such fees, costs or other payments as the Court expressly approves to be paid to or on behalf of me, or (iii) reimbursement, paid by my attorneys, of actual or reasonable out-of-pocket expenditures incurred directly in connection with the prosecution of this action.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the aforementioned is true and correct.

Dated: April 4, 200

Print Name LESTER HANDLER

Address 7595 Arbor Lakes Court, Unit 634, Naples, FL 34112

Email sheilaandles@comcast.net Phone <u>239-530-3</u>107

Please return either by fax to (212) 363-7171 or Email to jlevi@zlk.com

Selling Broker: Merrill Lynch, 821 Fifth Avenue South, Naples, FL 34102

I, SHEILA HANDLER, state:

- I. I have reviewed this Complaint from Levi & Korsinsky, LLP and authorized its filing.
 - 2. Further, I am willing to serve as a representative on behalf of the Class.
- 3. I did not purchase any of the securities described below at paragraph 4 for the purpose of participating in this litigation.
- Below is the amount of securities that are the subject of this litigation that I have bought and sold during the Class Period described in the Complaint:

Security Name	Amount	
Advent Claymore Conv W7 Taxable Pfd	25,003.	
F&C /Claymore ToT Rt T7Taxable Pfd	50,007.	
C&S Reit and Util F7 Taxable Pfd	50,007.	
Blrk Pfd & Egty Adv TH7 Taxable Pfd	25,010.	
D&P Util & Corp Bond TH7 Taxable Pfd	49,991.	

- 5. I have not served as a representative party on behalf of a class under the federal security laws during the last three (3) years, except if detailed below.
- I have not received, been promised or offered, and will not accept, any form of compensation, directly or indirectly, for prosecuting or serving as a representative party in this class action, except for (i) such damages or other relief as the Court may award to me as my pro rata share of any recovery or judgment; (ii) such fees, costs or other payments as the Court expressly approves to be paid to or on behalf of me, or (iii) reimbursement, paid by my attorneys, of actual or reasonable out-of-pocket expenditures incurred directly in connection with the prosecution of this action.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the aforementioned is true and correct.

Dated: April 4, 2008

Print Name SHEILA HANDLER

Address 7595 Arbor Lakes Court, Unit 634, Naples, FL 34112

Email sheilaandles@comcast.net

Phone 239-530-3107

Please return either by fax to (212) 363-7171 or Email to ilevi@zlk.com

Selling Broker: Merrill Lynch, 821 Fifth Avenue South, Naples, FL 34102

Ĭ.	Mark	Rowlands	, state:
-,	***	T CA ALTORITY	, , ,

- 1. I have reviewed this Complaint from Levi & Korsinsky, LLP and authorized its filing.
 - 2. Further, I am willing to serve as a representative on behalf of the Class.
- 3. I did not purchase any of the securities described below at paragraph 4 for the purpose of participating in this litigation.
- Below is the amount of securities that are the subject of this litigation that I have bought and sold during the Class Feriod described in the Complaint:

Security Name

Amount

Cohen and Steers REIT - \$200,000

Cohen & Steers REIT & UTIL - \$200,000

Evergreen INC ADV - \$100,000

F&C/Claymore Tot RT - \$25000

Flahty/Crumr/Laymore - \$100,000

- 5. I have not served as a representative party on behalf of a class under the federal security laws during the last three (3) years, except if detailed below.
- I have not received, been promised or offered, and will not accept, any 6. form of compensation, directly or indirectly, for prosecuting or serving as a representative party in this class action, except for (i) such damages or other relief as the Court may award to me as my pro rata share of any recovery or judgment; (ii) such fees, costs or other payments as the Court expressly approves to be paid to or on behalf of me, or (iii) reimbursement, paid by my attorneys, of actual or reasonable out-of-pocket expenditures incurred directly in connection with the prosecution of this action.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the aforementioned is true and correct.

Signature 1

Print Name MARK ROWLANDS

Address _215 W Palm Ave #101, Bu	bank, Ca 91502
Email _Mark@t2k.com	Phone818 557 0903
Selling BrokerPaul Pepperman - M	erril Lynch

I William Borra , state:

- I have reviewed this Complaint from Levi & Korsinsky, LLP and authorized its filing.
 - 2. Further, I am willing to serve as a representative on behalf of the Class.
- 3. I did not purchase any of the securities described below at paragraph 4 for the purpose of participating in this litigation.
- Below is the amount of securities that are the subject of this litigation that I have bought and sold during the Class Period described in the Complaint:

Security Name Amount See Attached

- 5. I have not served as a representative party on behalf of a class under the federal security laws during the last three (3) years, except if detailed below.
- 6. I have not received, been promised or offered, and will not accept, any form of compensation, directly or indirectly, for prosecuting or serving as a representative party in this class action, except for (i) such damages or other relief as the Court may award to me as my pro rata share of any recovery or judgment; (ii) such fees, costs or other payments as the Court expressly approves to be paid to or on behalf of me, or (iii) reimbursement, paid by my attorneys, of actual or reasonable out-of-pocket expenditures incurred directly in connection with the prosecution of this action.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the aforementioned is true and correct.

Dated: May 27, 2008 Signature	Print Name William Borra
Address S. te # 103, 145 N. Franklin	Tungte, Lambey, N.JOTY#L
Email Frzee 333@ Hol. Com Phone 201	-377-0933 ext 11
Selling Broker Mon. 11 Lynch.	

WILLIAM BORRA ATTACHMENT

SECURITY TITLE	QTY	VALUE	RATE	TOTAL	
Dille Colon	4	100	2 /55	ф	1 450 00
Blrk Munienhd Fnd	4	100	3.675	\$	1,470.00
Blrk Munyld Qlty Fund	3	75	2.833	\$	637.43
Minnesota muni Incm	4	100	2924	\$	1,169,600.00
Nuveen Prm Income 1	8	200	2.833	\$	4,532.80
Putnam Managed Muni	2	200	2.762	\$	1,104.80
PutnamMuni Oppty Tr	10	250	2.833	\$	7,082.50
Neuberger Berman Muni	10	250	2.833	\$	7,082.50
Blrk Munyld Ins Fnd	10	250	2.924	\$	7,310.00
Blrk Munyld Qlty Fnd	12	300	2.833	\$	10,198.80
DTF Tax Free	4	200	2.579	\$	2,063.20
VKM Muni Trst	1	25	2.702	\$	67.55
Blrk Munihld Fnd	4	100	3.077	\$	1,230.80
Blrk Munyld Ins Fnd	7	175	3.488	\$	4,272.80
GRAND TOTAL				\$	1,216,653.18

EXHIBIT C

LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP

THE FIRM

Levi & Korsinsky, LLP is a national law firm that primarily concentrates in the areas of securities, antitrust, consumer and other class actions. Our firm is also active in patent enforcement litigation. We represent both plaintiffs and defendants and are currently involved in dozens of class action lawsuits and patent enforcement litigations in both state and federal courts across the country.

One of the members of our firm has been involved in nearly one hundred class action litigations and has obtained multi-million dollar recoveries in class action lawsuits. We have also achieved significant recoveries on behalf of clients in numerous patent enforcement cases. Our firm's office is in New York and is comprised of four attorneys.

OUR EXPERIENCE

Set forth on the following pages is information relating to the qualifications of the firm. Section I identifies some of the class action lawsuits filed by our firm, Section II describes the experience of the members of our firm, and Section III describes our firm's experience in patent enforcement litigation.

I. CLASS ACTIONS

- 1. Pfeiffer v. Activision, Inc. CV-06-04771-JFW, US Central District, Western Division, California
- 2. In re Corinthian Colleges, Inc., Shareholder Derivative Litigation, SACV-06-0777-AHS, US Central District, Southern Division, California
- 3. Whiteman v. Armor Holdings, 16-2007-CA-003947-XXXX-MA Duval County, Florida
- 4. Solomon v. Donald R. Katz, Docket C-60-08 Essex County, New Jersey
- 5. In Re Alliance Data, Cause No. 07-4689 Dallas County, Texas
- 6. Call4U, LTD v. Charles A. Carroll, et al., Cause No. 2007-66888 Harris County, Texas
- 7. Pfeiffer v. Bradley Pharmaceuticals, Inc., L-4370-07 Essex County, New Jersey
- 8. Weiss Phillips v. Home Depot, Inc., No. 07-CV-1066 U.S. District Court, Northern District Georgia

- 9. In Re Topps Corp. Shareholder Litigation, No. 07-600715 New York County, New York
- 10. In Re Strategic Distribution Inc. Shareholders Litigation, C.A. No. 2575-N Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, County of New Castle
- 11. Whiteman v. Texas Pacific Group, et al., No. 07- 01847 Dist Ct. Dallas, Texas
- 12. Wetzel v. ELKCorp., No. CC-06-18562-B, County Court Dallas, Texas
- 13. Golombuski v. EGL Inc., No.2007-00139 Dist. Ct. Harris, Texas
- 14. In Re Aeroflex, Inc. Shareholder Litigation, 07-003943 Nassau County, New York
- 15. In Re Swift Transportation Company Shareholders Litigation, A519346 Clark Coutny, Nevada
- 16. In re: Net2Phone, Inc. Shareholders Litigation, Case No. 1467-N, Delaware Chancery Court
- 17. In re Cablevision Systems Corp. Shareholder Derivative Litig., 06-CV-4130, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of New York
- 18. Gottlieb v. Jobs, et al., Case No. 06 Civ. 05418 (RMW), U.S. District Court, Northern District California
- 19. *Pfeiffer v. Kotick, et al.*, Case No. 06 Civ. 04771 (JFW), U.S. District Court, Central District California
- 20. Mintz et al. v. Baron et al., Case No. 05 Civ. 04904 (LTS), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York
- 21. Bustos et al. v. Vonage America, Inc., Case No. 06 Civ. 2308 (HAA), U.S. District Court, New Jersey
- 22. Troost v. Bennet Environmental, et. al., 04 CV 06632, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 23. Gainnoit v. Pacific Bell Wireless, et. al., Case No. 04CC00563, Superior Court of the State of California, County of Orange.
- 24. Pfeiffer v. Moore, et al., 06 CV 00777 (JVS), U.S. District Court, Central District California. Lead Counsel.

- 25. McCarney v. Acceleron Corp., et. al., Case No. RG 04-145685, Superior Court of California, County of Alameda.
- 26. Pfeiffer v. Bjurman, Barry & Associates, et. al., 03 CV 9741, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 27. Zucker v. Aim Advisors, Inc., et al., H-03-5653, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas.
- 28. Lieber v. Invesco Funds Group, Inc. et al., H-03-5744, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas.
- 29. Pfeiffer v. Golden State Vintners, Inc., et al., CA 305-N, Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware County of New Castle.
- 30. Chiarenza v. Dover Investments Corp., et al., CA 203-N, Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware County of New Castle.
- 31. Nekritz v. Canary Capital Partners, et al., U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- 32. In re Biovail Corp. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 03-CV-8917, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 33. Mintz v. Putnam American Government Income Fund, et al., 03-CV-9149, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 34. Weiser v. PBHG Growth Fund, et al., U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 35. Mintz v. Morgan Stanley 21st Century Trend Fund, et al., 03-CV-9150, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 36. Pfeiffer v. Nortel Networks Corp., et al., 04-CV-02233, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 37. Korsinsky v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Company, et al., U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- 38. *Unger v. JetBlue Airways Corp.*, et al., U.S. District Court, Southern District of Florida, Miami Division.

- 39. Pfeiffer v. Whitehall Jewelers, Inc., et al., 04C-1285, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois.
- 40. Trebitsch v. Sonus Networks, et al., 04-CV-10307, U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts.
- 41. Pfeiffer v. Friedman's, Inc., et al., 1:03-cv-3564, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Georgia.
- 42. Peltz v. El Paso Corp., et al., H-04-0701, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas. Lead Counsel.
- 43. Trebitsch v. Wave Systems Corp., et al., 04-266, U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- 44. Pfeiffer v. Sperling, et al., 06 CV 2701, U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona.
- 45. Coneff, et al., v. New Cingular Wireless Services, et al., 06-0944, U.S. District Court, Western of Washington.

II. THE ATTORNEYS

Eduard Korsinsky, Member. Admitted to bar, 1996, New York; New Jersey (1996); United States District Court, Southern District of New York (1998); United States District Court, Eastern District of New York (1998). Education: Brooklyn College, B.S., Summa cum laude; Brooklyn Law School, J.D.; New York University School of Law, LL.M, Master of Law(s) Taxation. Mr. Korsinsky, through association with prior firms, has been representing clients in class action lawsuits since 1997. Cases which Mr. Korsinsky has litigated and/or in which his prior firm(s) served as lead counsel or co-lead counsel include: In re NCS Healthcare, Inc. Securities Litigation, CA 19786, Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, County of New Castle (case settled for approximately \$100 million); Paraschos, et al. v. YBM Magnex International, Inc., et al., No. 98-CV-6444, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania (United States and Canadian cases settled for \$85 million Canadian); In re Quintiles Transnational Corp., et. al., 02 CVS 5348, State of North Carolina, County of Durham; Key Equity Investors, Inc., et. al. v. Lexent Inc., et al., CA 20177, Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware County of New Castle; In re Livent, Inc. Securities Litigation, 98-CIV-5686 (RWS), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.: In re Shopping.com, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. C-98-3255-ER (BQRx), U.S. District Court, Central District of California. (\$4.5 million settlement); In re Advanced Health Corporation Securities Litigation, 98-CV-4647 (BDP), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York (settlement of \$2,954,790 plus attorneys' expenses); In re Schein Pharmaceutical, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master Docket No. 98-4311 (JCL), U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey (\$8

Page 22 of 24

million settlement); In re CyberCare Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No.: 00-8404-CIV-RYSKAMP/VITUNAC, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Florida (settled for \$3.1 million in cash plus 4,000,000 shares in CyberCare stock); In re ThermoRetec Securities Litigation, No. 17601-NC, Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, New Castle County (settled for \$750,000 plus expenses); Adler, et. al. v. Ribozyme, et. al., No. 99-B-2235, U.S. District Court, District of Colorado (settled for \$3 million); Lacoff v. Buena Vista Publ., Inc., No. 606005/98, Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, 2000 N.Y. Misc. LEXIS 25, January 28, 2000 (co-lead counsel with former New York State Attorney General G. Oliver Koppel) (settled for non-cash consideration valued at several million dollars); Pavel v. E-Stamp Corp., CV 798624, Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara (settled for non-cash consideration valued at approximately \$2.2 million); Chiarenza v. Curtis International Ltd., 01 Civ. 5381 (MGC), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York (settled for approximately \$615,000).

Joseph E. Levi, Member. Admitted to bar, 1996, New York; New Jersey (1996); United States Patent and Trademark Office (1997), United States District Court, Southern District of New York (1997); United States District Court, Eastern District of New York (1997). Education: Polytechnic University, B.S. (1984), Summa cum laude, MS (1986); Brooklyn Law School, J.D. (1995), Magna cum laude. In addition to his class action practice, Mr. Levi has successfully represented numerous patent holders in enforcing their patent rights in areas including computer hardware, software, communications and information processing and has been instrumental in obtaining substantial awards and settlements.

Juan E. Monteverde, Associate. Admitted to bar, 2007, New York; United States District Court. Southern District of New York (2008). Education: California State University of Northridge, B.S. Finance (2002); University of St. Thomas School of Law, J.D. (2006), Cum Laude, member of Law Review and President of Plead the Fifth, Official St. Thomas Law School Newspaper. Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Mr. Monteverde was a civil litigator with a major insurance defense firm in New York City where he gained extensive litigation experience. He is bilingual in English and Spanish, and a member of the US-Spain chamber of commerce.

Michael Korsinsky, of Counsel. He has been practicing law since 2000. Mr. Korsinsky has experience in commercial contract disputes, real estate, collection, tort, entertainment, foreclosure /tax lien and landlord-tenant disputes. Mr. Korsinsky graduated summa cum laude in 1996 from Brooklyn College of the City University of New York earning a Bachelor of Science degree. In 2000, he earned his law degree, graduating magna cum laude, from Brooklyn Law School. He is admitted in the state courts of New York and New Jersey, the Federal courts in the Eastern District of New York, Southern District of New York and the District of New Jersey as well as the Second Circuit Court of Appeals.

III. PATENT ENFORCEMENT LITIGATION

Our firm has successfully enforced and licensed patent portfolios in a variety of technologies such as data compression (U.S. Patent Nos. 4,472,747 and 4,636,876), information processing (U.S. Patent Nos. 5,258,855, 5,369,508, 5,625,465, 5,768,416 & 6,094,505), document imaging (U.S. Patent No. 5,191,525), communication devices (U.S. Patent Nos. 4,543,450, 4,603,320, 4,686,506 and 4,972,470), telephony (U.S. 4,475,009) and virus detection (U.S. Patent No. 4,975,950 and 5,121,345). Listed below are patent enforcement cases that are either pending or that the firm has successfully concluded.

- 1. Visual Interactive Phone Concepts, Inc. v. Virgin Mobile USA, Case No. 05 Civ. 02661 (MLC), U.S. District Court, New Jersey
- 2. Digital Development Corp v. International Business Machines, 03 CV 2905 (JGK), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 3. Digital Development Corp v. ASUS Computer International, 04 CV 2158 (DLC), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 4. Audio Compression Inc. v. Sony Corporation, 03-803 (WGB), U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- 5. Audio Compression Inc. v. TDK Corporation, 02 CV 2920 (ARL), U.S. District Court, Eastern District of New York.
- 6. Forward Technologies LLC v. SBC Corp., C 03 1254 (MMC), U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
- 7. Acticon Technologies LLC v. TDK Corporation, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of New York.
- 8. Acticon Technologies v. Psion PLC, 02 CV 2471 (MGC), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 9. *Millennium LP v. Kofax Image Products*, CV 02 3049 (JS), U.S. District Court, Eastern District of New York.
- 10. Millennium LP v. AnyDoc Software Inc., 03 CV 3899 (GBD), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.

- 11. *Millennium LP v. Dakota Imaging Inc.*, MJG-04-7073, U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland.
- 12. *Millennium LP v. Datacap Inc.*, 03 CV 1840 (JES), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 13. *Millennium LP v.Fairfax Imaging*, 2:02 CV 5141 (JCL), U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- 14. *Millennium LP Filenet Corp.*, 03 CV 7311 (NRB), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 15. Millennium LP v. Readsoft Inc., 02 CV 0152 (JFS), U.S. District Court, Southern District of California.
- 16. Millennium LP v. Recognition Research Inc., 03 CV 1839 (SHS), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.
- 17. Millennium LP v. Top Image Systems LTD., 02 CV 10102 (LTS), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York.

LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP

39 Broadway, Suite 1601 New York, New York 10006 Tel. (212) 363-7500 Fax. (212) 363-7171